

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

RORY M. WALSH,	:	CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:05-CV-0818
	:	
Plaintiff	:	(Judge Conner)
v.	:	
	:	
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,	:	
	:	
Defendants	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 24th day of April, 2008, upon consideration of *pro se* plaintiff's motion for partial reconsideration (Doc. 294) of the order of court dated April 7, 2008 (Doc. 293), in which the court denied plaintiff's "motion for issuance of restraining order and/or protective order and to rejoin the Navy,"¹ and the court finding that there are no manifest errors of law or fact in the challenged order, see Harsco Corp. v. Zlotnicki, 779 F.2d 906, 909 (3d Cir. 1985) ("The purpose of a motion for reconsideration is to correct manifest errors of law or fact or to present newly discovered evidence . . ."), it is hereby ORDERED that the motion for reconsideration (Doc. 294) is DENIED.²

S/ Christopher C. Conner
CHRISTOPHER C. CONNER
United States District Judge

¹ In the instant motion, plaintiff does not seek reconsideration of the denial of his request to rejoin the Navy as a defendant. (See Doc. 295 ¶ 3 at 3.)

² Plaintiff incorrectly states that "Defendant Ghormley remains bound to this action." (Doc. 295 ¶ 5 at 3-4.) The memorandum and order of court dated June 9, 2006 (Doc. 65) granted the motion to dismiss with respect to *all* claims against defendant Ghormley. The only remaining defendant in the above-captioned action is General James L. Jones, Jr.